

The following tables can be used to provide some guidance on the 1-5 scoring illustrated in this section.

### Impact

Descriptor	Score	Impact on service and reputation
Insignificant	1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• no impact on service</li> <li>• no impact on reputation</li> <li>• complaint unlikely</li> <li>• litigation risk remote</li> </ul>
Minor	2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• slight impact on service</li> <li>• slight impact on reputation</li> <li>• complaint possible</li> <li>• litigation possible</li> </ul>
Moderate	3	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• some service disruption</li> <li>• potential for adverse publicity - avoidable with careful handling</li> <li>• complaint probable</li> <li>• litigation probable</li> </ul>
Major	4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• service disrupted</li> <li>• adverse publicity not avoidable (local media)</li> <li>• complaint probable</li> <li>• litigation probable</li> </ul>
Extreme/ Catastrophic	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• service interrupted for significant time</li> <li>• major adverse publicity not avoidable (national media)</li> <li>• major litigation expected</li> <li>• resignation of senior management and board</li> <li>• loss of beneficiary confidence</li> </ul>

### Likelihood

Descriptor	Score	Example
Remote	1	may only occur in exceptional circumstances
Unlikely	2	expected to occur in a few circumstances
Possible	3	expected to occur in some circumstances
Probable	4	expected to occur in many circumstances
Highly probable	5	expected to occur frequently and in most circumstances

The 'heat map' below shows a different way of assessing risk by increasing the weighting of impact. This works on a scoring of  $xy+y$  where  $x$  is likelihood and  $y$  is impact. This formula multiplies impact with likelihood then adds a weighting again for impact. The effect is to give extra emphasis to impact when assessing risk. It should be remembered that risk scoring often involves a degree of judgement or subjectivity. Where data or information on past events or patterns is available, it will be helpful in enabling more evidence-based judgements.

In interpreting the risk heat map below, likelihood is  $x$  and impact is  $y$ . The colour codes are:

Red - major or extreme/catastrophic risks that score 15 or more

Yellow - moderate or major risks that score between 8 and 14

Blue or green - minor or insignificant risks scoring 7 or less

<b>Impact</b>	Extreme/ Catastrophic	5	10	15	20	25	30
	Major	4	8	12	16	20	24
	Moderate	3	6	9	12	15	18
	Minor	2	4	6	8	10	12
	Insignificant	1	2	3	4	5	6
			1	2	3	4	5
			Remote	Unlikely	Possible	Probable	Highly Probable
			<b>Likelihood</b>				

Some suggest an even greater weighting for impact and use a formula of  $xy+2y$ .